# LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE FORUM, INC.

# **Disused Sources Working Group**

Leonard Slosky, Chair, First Phase Disused Sources Working Group The Eight Annual RadWaste Summit

Las Vegas, NV

September 4, 2014

# Background

- 2
- Sealed sources have many beneficial uses
- Vast majority of licensees manage their sources properly
- Sealed sources could be used to produce a radiological dispersion devise or radiation exposure device
- National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) considers thousands of disused sources (including IAEA Category 3 sources) to be risk-significant from a national security perspective
- NNSA requested the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Forum, Inc. (LLW Forum) establish a working group to provide recommendations to reduce the national security risk from disused sources



### **First Phase Working Group Members**

- Leonard Slosky Rocky Mountain Compact (Chair)
- Max Batavia Atlantic Compact
- Brad Broussard Radioactive Materials Division, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
- Mike Garner Northwest Compact
- Kathryn Haynes Southeast Compact
- Rusty Lundberg Division of Radiation Control, Utah Department of Environmental Quality
- Alyse Peterson New York Energy Research & Development Authority
- Stan York Midwest Compact



# **Working Group Process**

- 18 meetings over 31 months
- Stakeholder meetings
  - DOE, NRC, DOD, DOT
  - States, Compacts, CRCPD, OAS, HPS
  - Manufacturers, distributors, recyclers, brokers/processors
  - Disposal facility operators
- Commented on Concentration Averaging and Encapsulation BTP and 10 CFR 61 rulemaking
- Final report issued March 2014



# **Disposal Landscape Has Changed**

- Texas Compact facility began accepting outof-compact waste including sealed sources
- Utah granted a time-limited (1 year) license variance to allow disposal of certain Class A sealed sources
- NRC finalizing CA BTP which may provide expanded opportunities for source disposal at existing sites
- Availability of disposal options has not resulted in a rush to disposal



# Findings - 1

#### Life-cycle costs not internalized

- Purchasers may not be aware of or plan for large disposal costs
- Thousands of disused sources stored indefinitely. Users reluctant to reuse/recycle/dispose partially because of cost
- Sources in long-term storage are at greater risk for loss of control – inadequate incentives for timely disposition
- While additional Type B containers are coming on the market, still appears shortage of shipping containers for high activity disused sources



# Findings - 2

- Regulatory system should be enhanced to control national security risks in a post – 911 threat environment
  - Financial assurance requirements do not apply to most sources that pose a risk to national security and do not cover the full disposal cost
  - Current regulatory framework does not promote recycle, reuse, disposal – opportunities for reuse, recycle, and disposal are underutilized
- Long-term solution hold licensees who benefit from the sources responsible for proper reuse/ recycle/disposal



### **Recommendations - 1**

#### Acquisition and Accumulation

- Educate potential buyers about the cost of storage, transportation, and disposal
- Research alternative technologies and promote their use
- Research agencies give preference to reuse of sources in inventory and require budgeting for life-cycle costs



# **Recommendations - 2**

#### Enhanced regulatory controls

- NRC should develop robust financial assurance requirements
- Annual fee for sources in storage
- Establish a two-year time limit on storage of disused sources
- Require manufacturers/suppliers/brokers to dispose sources that cannot be reused/recycled
- Require "date last used" in NSTS
- Greater controls for Category 3 and Generally Licensed sources



### **Recommendations - 3**

#### Timely Reuse, Recycle, or Disposal

- Source "exchange" program
- Study measures to promote reuse and recycling
- Technical outreach program to connect users with resources to help package, transport, dispose of sources
- NNSA contract for study of the demand for Type B containers
- NNSA submit applications to certify several foreign package designs for domestic use



# **Next Steps**

- DSWG report available on LLW Forum website at <u>www.llwforum.org</u>
- For additional information, contact LLW Forum at (754) 779-7551 or <u>LLWForumInc@aol.com</u>
- Second phase continue dialogue with stakeholders and pursue implementation of recommendations
- Ray Flemming, TX Chair, Second Phase DSWG

